

## Approximating derivatives using interpolating polynomials

1. Approximate the derivative of  $te^{-t}$  at  $t = 2.5$  and  $h = 0.1$  and  $h = 0.05$  using each of the formulas shown in class:

$$y^{(1)}(t) \approx \frac{y(t) - y(t-h)}{h}$$

$$y^{(1)}(t) \approx \frac{3y(t) - 4y(t-h) + y(t-2h)}{2h}$$

$$y^{(1)}(t) \approx \frac{y(t+h) - y(t-h)}{2h}$$

Answer:  $-0.1251059133484300$   $-0.1232976066833525$   $-0.1230589226866095$   
 $-0.1241358072742140$   $-0.1231657011999980$   $-0.1231103862051720$

2. The derivative at  $t = 2.5$  for the expression in Question 1 is approximately  $-0.1231274979358482$ . Indicate which formulas are  $O(h)$  and which are  $O(h^2)$ , and support your claims based on the results shown in Question 1.

Answer: The errors, to four significant digits, of the above six results are

0.001978	0.0001701	-0.00006858
0.001008	0.00003820	-0.00001711

You will note the first error drops by approximately one half, while the next two drop by approximately one quarter.

3. An accurate sensor is reading a location at a rate of once every five seconds, and the reading is in meters travelled. The readings are as follows:

0, 0.06, 1.01, 4.89, 14.60, 33.10, 62.75, 104.71, 158.66, 222.86, 294.59

What is a reasonable integer approximation of the speed at the last reading in km/h?

Answer: The speed in m/s is  $\frac{3 \cdot 294.59 - 4 \cdot 222.86 + 158.66}{2 \cdot 5} = 15.099$  and  $1 \text{ m/s} = 3.6 \text{ km/h}$ , so a reasonable approximation of the speed is  $54 \text{ km/h}$ .

4. Prove that the formulas in Question 1 are  $O(h)$ ,  $O(h^2)$  and  $O(h^2)$ , respectively.

Answer: See the course notes.

5. Suppose we use the approximation  $y^{(1)}(t) \approx \frac{y(t-h) - y(t-2h)}{h}$  to approximate the derivative one time step into the future of the two samples at  $t-h$  and  $t-2h$ . What is the error of this approximation?

Answer: We have

$$y(t-h) = y(t) - y^{(1)}(t)h + \frac{1}{2}y^{(2)}(\tau_{-1})h^2$$

$$y(t-2h) = y(t) - 2y^{(1)}(t)h + 2y^{(2)}(\tau_{-2})h^2$$

Subtracting the second from the first, we have

$$y(t-h) - y(t-2h) = y^{(1)}(t)h + \left( \frac{1}{2}y^{(2)}(\tau_{-1}) - 2y^{(2)}(\tau_{-2}) \right)h^2$$

We may therefore solve this as follows:

$$y^{(1)}(t) = \frac{y(t-h) - y(t-2h)}{h} - \left( \frac{1}{2}y^{(2)}(\tau_{-1}) - 2y^{(2)}(\tau_{-2}) \right)h$$

The second expression is not a convex combination (with all coefficients greater than or equal to zero), so the best we can say is:

$$y^{(1)}(t) = \frac{y(t-h) - y(t-2h)}{h} + \frac{3}{2}y^{(2)}(t)h + O(h^2)$$

Thus, the error is  $\frac{3}{2}y^{(2)}(t)h + O(h^2)$ , which is  $O(h)$ , but with a much larger coefficient.

6. Suppose we use the approximation  $y^{(1)}(t) \approx \frac{3y(t-h) - 4y(t-2h) + y(t-3h)}{2h}$  to approximate the derivative one time step into the future of the three samples at  $t-h$ ,  $t-2h$  and  $t-3h$ . What is the error of this approximation?

Answer: Let's start with first-order Taylor series expansions:

$$\begin{aligned}y(t-h) &= y(t) - y^{(1)}(t)h + \frac{1}{2}y^{(2)}(\tau_{-1})h^2 \\y(t-2h) &= y(t) - 2y^{(1)}(t)h + 2y^{(2)}(\tau_{-2})h^2 \\y(t-3h) &= y(t) - 3y^{(1)}(t)h + \frac{9}{2}y^{(2)}(\tau_{-3})h^2\end{aligned}$$

If, when we add these together, the errors all cancel out, then we would go back and use a 2<sup>nd</sup>-order Taylor series expansion.

Taking an appropriate linear combination of these, we have

$$3y(t-h) - 4y(t-2h) + y(t-3h) = 2y^{(1)}(t)h + \left( \frac{3}{2}y^{(2)}(\tau_{-1}) - 8y^{(2)}(\tau_{-2}) + \frac{9}{2}y^{(2)}(\tau_{-3}) \right)h^2$$

We may therefore solve this as follows:

$$y^{(1)}(t) = \frac{3y(t-h) - 4y(t-2h) + y(t-3h)}{2h} - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{3}{2}y^{(2)}(\tau_{-1}) - 8y^{(2)}(\tau_{-2}) + \frac{9}{2}y^{(2)}(\tau_{-3}) \right)h$$

The second expression is not a convex combination (with all coefficients greater than or equal to zero), so the best we can say is:

$$y^{(1)}(t) = \frac{3y(t-h) - 4y(t-2h) + y(t-3h)}{2h} + y^{(2)}(t)h + O(h^2)$$

Thus, the error is  $y^{(2)}(t)h + O(h^2)$ , which is  $O(h)$  and not  $O(h^2)$ .

7. A colleague suggests that a better approximation of the derivative for sampled data would be

$y^{(1)}(t) \approx \frac{1.5y(t) - 0.5y(t-h)}{1.5h}$  as this puts the most emphasis on the more recent, and therefore most relevant, reading. What is the error of this formula?

Answer: From a 1<sup>st</sup>-order Taylor series, we have:

$$y(t-h) = y(t) - y^{(1)}(t)h + \frac{1}{2}y^{(2)}(\tau)h^2.$$

Thus, we have

$$1.5y(t-h) = 1.5y(t) - 1.5y^{(1)}(t)h + 0.75y^{(2)}(\tau)h^2$$

Solving this for the derivative, we have:

$$y^{(1)}(t) = \frac{1.5y(t) - 0.5y(t-h)}{1.5h} - \frac{y(t-h)}{1.5h} - 0.5y^{(2)}(\tau)h.$$

We note the error is  $-\frac{y(t-h)}{1.5h} - 0.5y^{(2)}(\tau)h$ , so this is actually  $O(1/h)$ , meaning the smaller  $h$  is, the worse the approximation. Thus, this is a valid formula, but also one that has a result that is useless, except, perhaps, if we were approximating the derivative of a function that was itself essentially equal to zero.

8. Approximate the second derivative of  $te^{-t}$  at  $t = 2.5$  and  $h = 0.1$  and  $h = 0.05$  using each of the formulas shown in class:

$$y^{(2)}(t) \approx \frac{y(t+h) - 2y(t) + y(t-h)}{h^2}$$

$$y^{(2)}(t) \approx \frac{y(t) - 2y(t-h) + y(t-2h)}{h^2}$$

$$y^{(2)}(t) \approx \frac{2y(t) - 5y(t-h) + 4y(t-2h) - y(t-3h)}{h^2}$$

Answer:           0.04093981323641           0.03616613330155           0.04239674992034  
                       0.04101684276168           0.03880424296864           0.04135154960976

9. The second derivative at  $t = 2.5$  for the expression in Question 8 is approximately 0.0410424993119494. Indicate which formulas are  $O(h)$  and which are  $O(h^2)$ , and support your claims based on the results shown in Question 8.

Answer: The errors, to four significant digits, of the above six results are

0.0001027	0.004876	-0.001354
0.00002566	0.002238	-0.0003091

You will note the first and third errors drop by approximately one quarter, while the second drops by approximately one half.

10. An accurate sensor is reading a location at a rate of once every five seconds, and the reading is in meters travelled. The readings are as follows:

0, 0.06, 1.01, 4.89, 14.60, 33.10, 62.75, 104.71, 158.66, 222.86, 294.59

What is a reasonable integer approximation of the acceleration at the last reading in  $\text{km/h}/(10\text{s})$ ? That is, what is the change in speed in  $\text{km/h}$  over a period of 10 seconds.

Answer: The speed in  $\text{m/s}^2$  is  $\frac{2 \cdot 294.59 - 5 \cdot 222.86 + 4 \cdot 158.66 - 104.71}{5^2} = 0.1924$  and we have that

$1 \text{ m/s}^2 = 36 \text{ km/h}/(10\text{s})$ , so a reasonable approximation of the acceleration is  $7 \text{ km/h}/(10\text{s})$ .

11. Prove that the formulas in Question 8 are  $O(h^2)$ ,  $O(h)$  and  $O(h^2)$ , respectively.

Answer: See the course notes. The last requires you to have three 4<sup>th</sup>-order Taylor series expansions.

12. In class, we found the two approximations of the 2<sup>nd</sup> derivative by finding an interpolating quadratic and taking the second derivative thereof. Suppose, however, you recall that

$$y^{(2)}(t) \approx \frac{y^{(1)}(t) - y^{(1)}(t-h)}{h}$$

and then substitute into this the two approximations

$$y^{(1)}(t) \approx \frac{y(t) - y(t-h)}{h} \quad \text{and} \quad y^{(1)}(t-h) \approx \frac{y(t-h) - y(t-2h)}{h}.$$

What formula do you get?

Answer: You should get an approximation we have already found.

13. Suppose we use the approximation  $y^{(1)}(t) \approx \frac{y(t-h) - 2y(t-2h) + y(t-3h)}{h^2}$  to approximate the derivative one time step into the future of the three samples at  $t-h$ ,  $t-2h$  and  $t-3h$ . What is the error of this approximation?

Answer: The formula for approximating the second derivative using  $t$ ,  $t-h$  and  $t-2h$  is already  $O(h)$ , so this can't be any better, so let's start with second-order Taylor series expansions:

$$y(t-h) = y(t) - y^{(1)}(t)h + \frac{1}{2}y^{(2)}(t)h^2 - \frac{1}{6}y^{(3)}(\tau_{-1})h^3$$

$$y(t-2h) = y(t) - 2y^{(1)}(t)h + 2y^{(2)}(t)h^2 - \frac{4}{3}y^{(3)}(\tau_{-2})h^3$$

$$y(t-3h) = y(t) - 3y^{(1)}(t)h + \frac{9}{2}y^{(2)}(t)h^2 - \frac{9}{2}y^{(3)}(\tau_{-3})h^3$$

Taking an appropriate linear combination of these, dividing by  $h^2$  and isolating the 2<sup>nd</sup> derivative, we have

$$y^{(2)}(t) = \frac{y(t-h) - 2y(t-2h) + y(t-3h)}{h^2} - \left( -\frac{1}{6}y^{(3)}(\tau_{-1})h^3 + \frac{8}{3}y^{(3)}(\tau_{-2})h^3 - \frac{9}{2}y^{(3)}(\tau_{-3})h^3 \right)h$$

The second expression is not a convex combination (with all coefficients greater than or equal to zero), so the best we can say is:

$$y^{(2)}(t) = \frac{y(t-h) - 2y(t-2h) + y(t-3h)}{h^2} + 2y^{(3)}(t)h + O(h^2)$$

Thus, the error is  $2y^{(3)}(t)h + O(h^2)$ , which is  $O(h)$  and not  $O(h^2)$ . Note that the error coefficient is twice as large as the error was for the approximation  $y^{(1)}(t) \approx \frac{y(t) - 2y(t-h) + y(t-2h)}{h^2}$ .

Acknowledgement: Dhyey Patel for noting one subscript was accidentally repeated in Question 6.